

Faddeev-Jackiw Quantization of the Gauge Invariant Self-Dual Fields Relative to String Theory

LIAO Leng¹ HUANG Yong-Chang^{1,2;1)}

1 (Institute of Theoretical Physics, College of Applied Mathematics and Physics,
Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100022, China)

2 (CCAST (World Lab.), Beijing 100080, China)

Abstract A new symplectic Lagrangian density and Faddeev-Jackiw (FJ) generalized brackets of the gauge invariant self-dual fields interacting with gauge fields have been obtained and FJ quantization of this system has been presented. Furthermore, the FJ method is compared with Dirac method and the results indicate that the two methods are equivalent in the quantization of this system. After analyzing, it can be found in this paper that the FJ method is really simpler than the Dirac method, namely, the FJ method obviates the need to distinguish primary and secondary constraints and the first- and the second-class constraints. Therefore, the FJ method is a more economical and effective method of quantization.

Key words gauge field, self-dual field, Faddeev-Jackiw method, canonical quantization

1 Introduction

The systems described by singular Lagrangians are called singular systems, and this kind of systems contains inherent constraints^[1, 2]. Electromagnetic field theory^[1, 2] and Yang-Mills field theory^[1, 2] are both singular systems, and in many fields of physics, there exist singular systems, such as gravitational field theory, supersymmetry theory, supergravitation theory, superstring theory etc. The investigation on inherent constraints has become one basic task of the theoretical research on these theories.

The study of singular systems was started by Dirac^[3]. Dirac proposed a kind of bracket (now called as Dirac bracket) to quantize singular systems. The quantization method used in this paper is Faddeev-Jackiw (FJ) quantization method^[4-8]. In contrast with Dirac method, FJ method has the advantages of simplicity, obviates the need to distinguish pri-

mary and secondary constraints and the first- and the second-class constraints. Moreover, in FJ method, there is no the hypothesis of Dirac's conjecture. So, after it has been proposed, many physicists paid close attention to FJ method.

Ref. [4] researched the self-dual theory in terms of FJ method, the quantization of self-dual fields may be relative to string theory^[9], Ref. [10] found that $N=2$ (4) string theory is self-dual $N=4$ Yang-Mills theory, and Ref. [11] researched that Non-BPS brane dynamics and dual tensor gauge theory, up to now, it evokes much attention^[12]. There have been many techniques to construct the interaction theories between self-dual fields and gauge fields^[13-16], but those theories all have some flaws. In this paper, we choose the coupling Lagrangian density of Ref. [17], which not only is a Lorentz invariant theory, but also is gauge invariant. Thus, it is obviously much better than the previous theories.

Received 14 May 2005

1) Corresponding author

2 Faddeev-Jackiw quantization of gauge invariant self-dual fields

Gauge invariant self-dual fields interacting with gauge fields are described by the Lagrangian density^[17]

$$\mathcal{L} = \dot{\phi}\phi' - (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{A}_1 - A'_0)^2 + c\phi'(A_0 - A_1) - \frac{1}{2}c^2 A_1^2 - \dot{\theta}\theta' - (\theta')^2 + c\theta'(A_0 + A_1), \quad (1)$$

where Eq. (1) is a Lagrangian density in the (1+1) spacetime. It can be found that Eq. (1) is not a first-order Lagrangian density. So, before making the FJ process, it must be transformed into the first-order Lagrangian density by introducing auxiliary fields. Here, the canonical momenta are chosen to be auxiliary fields.

The canonical momenta are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{A}_0} \mathcal{L} = 0, & \pi^1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{A}_1} \mathcal{L} = \dot{A}_1 - A'_0, \\ \pi_\phi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \mathcal{L} = \phi', & \pi_\theta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \mathcal{L} = -\theta'. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Correspondingly, the canonical Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \frac{1}{2}(\pi')^2 + \pi^1 A'_0 + (\phi')^2 - c\phi'(A_0 - A_1) + \frac{1}{2}c^2 A_1^2 + (\theta')^2 - c\theta'(A_0 + A_1).$$

The first-order symplectic Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \xi_5 \dot{\xi}_2 + \xi'_3 \dot{\xi}_3 - \xi'_4 \dot{\xi}_4 - V(\xi), \quad (3)$$

where $\xi_1 = A_0$, $\xi_2 = A_1$, $\xi_3 = \phi$, $\xi_4 = \theta$, $\xi_5 = \pi^1$, which are symplectic coordinates, and $V(\xi) = \mathcal{H}_c(\xi)$, namely

$$\mathcal{H}_c(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_5)^2 + \xi_5 \xi'_1 + (\xi'_3)^2 - c\xi'_3(\xi_1 - \xi_2) + \frac{1}{2}c^2 \xi_2^2 + (\xi'_4)^2 - c\xi'_4(\xi_1 + \xi_2). \quad (4)$$

The components of symplectic 1-forms are

$$a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = \xi_5, \quad a_3 = \xi'_3, \quad a_4 = -\xi'_4, \quad a_5 = 0.$$

Using $f_{ij} = \frac{\delta a_j(y)}{\delta \xi_i(x)} - \frac{\delta a_i(x)}{\delta \xi_j(y)}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, 5$), we

obtain the symplectic matrix

$$(f_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y), \quad (5)$$

which is obviously singular. The zero-mode of this matrix is $(v^{(0)})^T = (v(x) \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$, where $v(x)$ is an arbitrary function. According to FJ method^[6], using the zero-mode we can get the primary constraint as follows

$$\Omega^{(0)} = \xi'_5(x) + c[\xi'_3(x) + \xi'_4(x)] = 0. \quad (6)$$

And the first-iterated Lagrangian density is given as follows^[6]

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \xi_5 \dot{\xi}_2 + \xi'_3 \dot{\xi}_3 - \xi'_4 \dot{\xi}_4 + \Omega^{(1)} \dot{\lambda} - V^{(1)}(\xi), \quad (7)$$

where $\dot{\lambda}$ is a function of time and space, as a derivative of time, which does not depend on ξ , and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(1)} &= V|_{\Omega^{(0)}=0} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_5)^2 + \xi_5 \xi'_1 + \left(\frac{1}{c}\xi'_5 + \xi'_4\right)^2 + \\ &(\xi'_5 + c\xi'_4)(\xi_1 - \xi_2) + \frac{1}{2}c^2 \xi_2^2 + \\ &(\xi'_4) - c\xi'_4(\xi_1 + \xi_2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Continuing FJ process, and defining the first-iterated symplectic coordinates $\xi^{(1)} = (\xi, \lambda)$, we obtain the components of first-iterated symplectic 1-forms $a_1^{(1)} = 0$, $a_2^{(1)} = \xi_5$, $a_3^{(1)} = \xi'_3$, $a_4^{(1)} = -\xi'_4$, $a_5^{(1)} = 0$, $a_6^{(1)} = \Omega^{(0)}$, so the first-iterated symplectic matrix is deduced

$$(f_{ij}^{(1)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\partial_x & 0 & 0 & -c\partial_x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\partial_x & 0 & -c\partial_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_x \\ 0 & 0 & -c\partial_x & -c\partial_x & -\partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y). \quad (9)$$

where c is a constant. Obviously, this matrix is still a singular matrix, which has two zero-modes. By using the two zero modes, no new constrains can be got, however, the symplectic matrix is singular yet. So this system has gauge symmetries. Here, we choose gauge conditions $\Omega_1 = -\xi_1 - \xi_2 = 0$, $\Omega_2 = \xi'_4 = 0$ ^[17] to fix gauges. Considering the two gauge conditions

as constrains, according to FJ method^[6], a new symplectic Lagrangian density is constructed as

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \xi_5 \dot{\xi}_2 + \xi_3' \dot{\xi}_3 - \xi_4' \dot{\xi}_4 + \Omega^{(0)} \dot{\lambda} + \Omega_1 \dot{\eta}_1 + \Omega_2 \dot{\eta}_2 - V^{(2)}(\xi), \quad (10)$$

similarly, where η_1 and η_2 are functions of time and space, and which do not depend on $\xi^{(1)}$. The new symplectic coordinates and components of symplectic 1-forms are given as $\xi^{(2)} = (\xi, \lambda, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ and $a_1^{(2)} = 0$, $a_2^{(2)} = \xi_5$, $a_3^{(2)} = \xi_3'$, $a_4^{(2)} = -\xi_4'$, $a_5^{(2)} = 0$, $a_6^{(2)} = \Omega^{(0)}$, $a_7^{(2)} = \Omega_1$, $a_8^{(2)} = \Omega_2$, respectively. Correspondingly, we finally obtain the new symplectic matrix

$$(f_{ij}^{(2)})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{c^2}\delta(x-y) & \frac{2}{c^2}\partial_x\delta(x-y) & \frac{1}{c}\delta(x-y) & 0 & -\delta(x-y) & -\frac{2}{c^2}\delta(x-y) & \delta(x-y) & \frac{2}{c}\delta(x-y) \\ \frac{2}{c^2}\partial_x\delta(x-y) & -\frac{2}{c^2}\partial_x\delta(x-y) & -\frac{1}{c}\delta(x-y) & 0 & \delta(x-y) & \frac{2}{c^2}\delta(x-y) & 0 & -\frac{2}{c}\delta(x-y) \\ -\frac{1}{c}\delta(x-y) & \frac{1}{c}\delta(x-y) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2c}\varepsilon(x-y) & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x-y) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x-y) \\ \delta(x-y) & -\delta(x-y) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{c^2}\delta(x-y) & -\frac{2}{c^2}\delta(x-y) & -\frac{1}{2c}\varepsilon(x-y) & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c^2}\varepsilon(x-y) & 0 & -\frac{1}{c}\varepsilon(x-y) \\ -\delta(x-y) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{c}\delta(x-y) & \frac{2}{c}\delta(x-y) & \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x-y) & -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x-y) & 0 & -\frac{1}{c}\varepsilon(x-y) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where $\varepsilon(x)$ is a general step-spring function, satisfying $\frac{d\varepsilon(x)}{dx} = 2\delta(x)$.

From which, we can identify the FJ generalized brackets^[7] as

$$\{\xi_i^{(2)}(x), \xi_j^{(2)}(y)\}^* = f_{ij}^{(2)-1}(x, y). \quad (13)$$

And the quantization of gauge invariant self-dual fields is done by the usual replacement^[7]

$$\{\xi_i^{(2)}(x), \xi_j^{(2)}(y)\}^* \rightarrow -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{\xi}_i^{(2)}(x), \hat{\xi}_j^{(2)}(y)]. \quad (14)$$

So far, we complete the FJ quantization of this system.

$$(f_{ij}^{(2)}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\partial_x & 0 & 0 & -c\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\partial_x & 0 & -c\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c\partial_x & -c\partial_x & -\partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \delta(x-y). \quad (11)$$

Eq. (11) can be identified as a non-singular matrix, through very careful and very long calculation, its inverse is deduced as follows

3 The comparison between FJ method and Dirac method in quantization of gauge invariant self-dual fields

Comparing the FJ generalized brackets (12) and (13) with the Dirac brackets^[17], the relations of the two kinds of brackets are obtained as follows

$$\{A_1(x), \pi^1(y)\}^* = \{\xi_2(x), \xi_5(y)\}^* = f_{25}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \delta(x-y) = \{A_1(x), \pi^1(y)\}_D, \quad (15)$$

$$\{A_1(x), A_1(y)\}^* = \{\xi_2(x), \xi_2(y)\}^* = f_{22}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = -\frac{2}{c^2}\partial_x\delta(x-y) = \{A_1(x), A_1(y)\}_D, \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_0(x), A_1(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_1(x), \xi_2(y)\}^* = f_{12}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \\ & \frac{2}{c^2} \partial_x \delta(x-y) = \{A_0(x), A_1(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_0(x), A_0(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_1(x), \xi_1(y)\}^* = f_{11}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \\ & -\frac{2}{c^2} \partial_x \delta(x-y) = \{A_0(x), A_0(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_0(x), \pi^1(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_1(x), \xi_5(y)\}^* = f_{15}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \\ & -\delta(x-y) = \{A_0(x), \pi^1(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_1(x), \phi(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_2(x), \xi_3(y)\}^* = f_{23}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \\ & -\frac{1}{c} \delta(x-y) = \{A_1(x), \phi(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_0(x), \phi(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_1(x), \xi_3(y)\}^* = f_{13}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \\ & \frac{1}{c} \delta(x-y) = \{A_0(x), \phi(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_0(x), \pi_\phi(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_1(x), \partial_y \xi_3(y)\}^* = \\ & \partial_y f_{13}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_x \delta(x-y) = \\ & \{A_0(x), \pi_\phi(y)\}_D, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_1(x), \pi_\phi(y)\}^* &= \{\xi_2(x), \partial_y \xi_3(y)\}^* = \\ & \partial_y f_{23}^{(2)-1}(x, y) = \frac{1}{c} \partial_x \delta(x-y) = \\ & \{A_1(x), \pi_\phi(y)\}_D. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The other FJ generalized brackets and Dirac brackets all equal zero. We must emphasize that the FJ generalized brackets concerning ξ_6, ξ_7, ξ_8 are brought by multipliers, so there are not the correspondences to the Dirac brackets.

From the above discussion, it can be read that the FJ generalized brackets concerning real field variables and conjugate momenta coincide with the correspondent Dirac brackets. And this method in this letter can be applied to quantize many quantum systems in physics, e.g., 1+1 Dimensional Non-linear σ Model^[18] etc., because of the limit of the letter's space, the other more researches will be written in the other papers.

4 Summary and conclusion

In this letter, we study gauge invariant self-dual fields interacting with gauge fields by using FJ method, obtain a new symplectic Lagrangian density, deduce the FJ generalized brackets of the gauge invariant self-dual fields interacting with gauge fields, further give Faddeev-Jackiw quantization of this system. By comparing FJ method with Dirac method for the model, we find that the FJ generalized brackets obtained by FJ method are identical with those obtained by Dirac method. Moreover, the resulting quantizations from the two methods are identical. So, we obtain the conclusion that the FJ method and Dirac method are equivalent in the quantization of gauge invariant self-dual fields interacting with gauge fields. By our practical research in this letter, we find that in contrast with Dirac method, the FJ method has the advantages of simplicity, obviates the need to distinguish primary and secondary constraints and the first- and the second-class constraints. Therefore, the FJ method is more economical and useful.

References

- 1 Marc Henneaux, Claudio Teitelboim. Quantization of Gauge Systems. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1992
- 2 Gitman D M, Tyutin I V. Quantization of Fields with Constraints. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1990
- 3 Dirac P A M. Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. New York: Yeshiva University, 1964
- 4 Floreanini R, Jackiw R. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, **59**: 1873
- 5 Faddeev L, Jackiw R. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1988, **60**: 1692
- 6 Wotzasek C. Mod. Phys. Lett., 1993, **A8**: 2509
- 7 Barcelos-Neto J, Wotzasek C. Int. J. Mod. Phys., 1992, **A7**: 4981
- 8 Montani H, Wotzasek C. Mod. Phys. Lett., 1993, **A8**: 3387
- 9 Labastid J M F, Pernici M. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, **59**: 2511
- 10 Siegel W. Phys. Rev., 1992, **D46**: R3237
- 11 Clark T E, Nitta M, Veldhuis T T. Phys. Rev., 2004, **D70**: 125011
- 12 Mezincescu L, Nepomechie R I. Phys. Rev., 1988, **D37**: 3067
- 13 Glesh S, Mitra P. Phys. Rev., 1991, **D44**: 1332
- 14 Bellucci S, Golterman M F L, Petcher D N. Nucl. Phys., 1989, **B326**: 307
- 15 Harada K. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1990, **64**: 139
- 16 Frishman Y, Sonnenschein J. Nucl. Phys., 1988, **B301**: 4981

346

28:17 (in Chinese)

17 MIAO Yan-Gang. Acta Phys. Sin., 1993, 42: 536

(王晶, 黄永畅. 高能物理与核物理, 2004, 28: 17)

18 WANG Jing, HUANG Yong-Chang. HEP & NP, 2004,

关于弦论的规范不变自对偶场的Faddeev-Jackiw量子化

廖棱¹ 黄永畅^{1,2;1)}

1 (北京工业大学应用数理学院理论物理研究所 北京 100022)

2 (中国高等科学技术中心(世界实验室) 北京 100080)

摘要 用Faddeev-Jackiw(FJ)方法对与规范场耦合的规范自对偶场进行了研究,获得了一个新的辛Lagrangian密度,导出了此系统的FJ广义括号,并对其进行了FJ量子化.进而把FJ方法和Dirac方法进行了比较,发现在对此系统的量子化中,两种方法所给出的量子化结果完全是等价的.通过分析可知FJ方法比Dirac方法要简单,因FJ方法不需要区分初级约束与次级约束,而且也不需要区分第一类约束和第二类约束.故与Dirac方法相比,FJ方法是一种计算上更为经济和有效的量子化方法.

关键词 规范场 自对偶场 Faddeev-Jackiw方法 正则量子化