

# Quantal symmetries in the non-linear $\sigma$ model with Maxwell and non-Abelian Chern-Simons terms

WANG Ke(王珂)<sup>1;1)</sup> JIANG Yun-Guo(姜云国)<sup>2;3;2)</sup> HUO Qiu-Hong(霍秋红)<sup>4;3)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Physics, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo, 3. Ed.C. 56127 Pisa, Italy

<sup>3</sup> INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo, 3. Ed. C, 56127 Pisa, Italy

<sup>4</sup> College of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

**Abstract** The quantal symmetry property of the  $CP^1$  nonlinear  $\sigma$  model with Maxwell non-Abelian Chern-Simons terms in (2+1) dimension is studied. In the Coulomb gauge, the system is quantized by using the Faddeev-Senjanovic (FS) path-integral formalism. Based on the quantum Noether theorem, the quantal conserved angular momentum is derived and the fractional spin at the quantum level in this system is presented.

**Key words** non-linear sigma model, non-Abelian Chern-Simons, fractional spin

**PACS** 04.60.Kz, 05.30.Pr

## 1 Introduction

The non-linear sigma model, which was introduced by Schwinger [1] is widely used in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory. It effectively describes the asymptotically free theory, and has non-trivial relations with Yang-Mills gauge theory [2–4]. The theoretically possible particles, namely anyons, exhibit the properties of fractional spin and statistics in 2+1 dimensions. Anyons model was studied intensively in expectation to explain the fractional quantum Hall effect and high- $T_c$  superconductivity [5, 6]. The  $O(3)$  nonlinear sigma model with Hopf and Chern-Simons (CS) terms was studied, because fractional spin and statistics also occur in such a kind of model [7, 8]. The  $CP^1$  nonlinear sigma model, as a low energy effective model for vortices, has applications in ferromagnets physics. It is also a toy-model displaying many important features of gauge field theories, which is intimately related to the  $O(3)$  nonlinear sigma model in the long-range limit. A lot of recent works on (2+1)-dimensional Abelian Chern-Simons gauge theories revealed the existence of fractional spin property [9, 10]. The classical angular momentum for non-Abelian Chern-Simons was dis-

cussed, and it was pointed out that the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term in certain models could change the property of fractional statistics. The spin property of the  $CP^1$  non-linear sigma model at the quantum level has also been studied [11–13]. In our paper, the quantal symmetry properties of the  $CP^1$  nonlinear sigma models in a non-Abelian case are studied. According to the path integral quantization rule for the constrained Hamilton system, we first quantize this system in the Faddeev-Senjanovic scheme. Based on the quantum Noether theorem, the conserved angular momentum has been calculated at the quantum level, and the fractional spin property of this system is presented.

## 2 Faddeev-Senjanovic (FS) path-integral quantization of $O(3)$ $\sigma$ model

In (2+1)-dimensions, the lagrangian density of the  $CP^1$  nonlinear  $\sigma$  model with the Maxwell term and non-Abelian Chern-Simons term is given by [7, 8]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_a^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu Z_k^a)^* (D^\mu Z_k^a) + \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^a + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\rho^c), \quad (1)$$

Received 10 May 2009, Revised 28 September 2009

1) E-mail: friendlyke@163.com

2) E-mail: jiang@df.unipi.it

3) E-mail: hqh@emails.bjut.edu.cn

©2009 Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

where  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iT^a A_\mu^a$ ,  $T^a$  are generators of non-abelian gauge groups  $O(3)$ , satisfying  $[T^a, T^b] = i\varepsilon^{abc} T^c$ ,  $\text{tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$ . The gauge field strength is  $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + \varepsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$ .  $\varepsilon^{abc}$  and  $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}$  are the totally anti-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor. In conventional Latin symbols  $a, b, c = 1, 2, 3$ . Greek symbol  $\mu, \nu, \rho = 0, 1, 2$ .  $\kappa$  is a Chern-Simons coefficient. Coupling constant is assumed to be unity, and  $Z_k^a$  are complex fields which satisfy:

$$Z_k^a Z_k^{a*} = |Z_1^a|^2 + |Z_2^a|^2 = 1. \quad (2)$$

The canonical momentums conjugate to the fields  $A_\mu^a$ ,  $Z_k^a$ ,  $Z_k^{a*}$  are defined as

$$\pi_0^a = 0, \quad (3a)$$

$$\pi_i^a = F_{0i}^a + \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon_{ij} A_j^a, \quad (3b)$$

$$\pi_k^a = (D_0 Z_k^a)^*, \quad (3c)$$

$$\pi_k^{a*} = D_0 Z_k^a, \quad (3d)$$

respectively. where  $\varepsilon^{ij} = \varepsilon^{0ij}$  in shorthand. The primary constraints of the system are

$$A_1 = \pi_0^a \approx 0, \quad \theta_1 = Z_k^a Z_k^{a*} - 1 \approx 0, \quad (4)$$

where symbol “ $\approx$ ” means weakly equality in Dirac sense. The canonical Hamiltonian density is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_c = & \pi_i^a \dot{A}_i^a + \pi_k^a \dot{Z}_k^a + \pi_k^{a*} \dot{Z}_k^{a*} - \mathcal{L} = \\ & \mathcal{H}_0 - A_0^a [(\partial_i \pi^i + \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j) - J_0^a], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 = & \frac{1}{2} \pi_i^a \pi_i^a + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^a F_{ij}^a + \frac{\kappa^2}{16} A_j^a A_j^a + \pi_k^{a*} \pi_k^a + \\ & (D_i Z_k^a)^* (D^i Z_k^a) - \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{ij} \pi_i^a A_j^a, \end{aligned} \quad (6a)$$

$$J_0^a = -i\pi_k^c T_{ab}^c Z_k^b + i\pi_k^{c*} T_{ab}^c Z_k^{b*}. \quad (6b)$$

The total Hamiltonian is written as

$$H_T = \int d^2x (\mathcal{H}_c + \lambda_1^a A_1^a + \mu_1^a \theta_1^a). \quad (7)$$

The consistency conditions  $\dot{A}_1 = \{A_1, H_T\} \approx 0$  and  $\dot{\theta}_1 = \{\theta_1, H_T\} \approx 0$  lead to secondary constraints

$$A_2 = J^0 - \partial_i \pi^i - \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j \approx 0, \quad (8a)$$

$$\theta_2 = \pi_k^a Z_k^a + \pi_k^{a*} Z_k^{a*} \approx 0, \quad (8b)$$

respectively, and no further constraints are generated by this iterative procedure. It is easy to check that the constraints  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are first-class constraints, and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are second-class constraints.

According to the Faddeev-Senjanovic (FS) path-integral quantization scheme, for each first-class con-

straint, one must choose a gauge condition. We choose to work in Coulomb gauge  $\Omega_1^a = \partial_i A_i^a \approx 0$ . The consistence of Coulomb gauge requires,  $\partial_i \dot{A}_i \approx \{\Omega_1, H_T\} \approx 0$ , this leads to another gauge constraint

$$\Omega_1^a = \nabla^2 A_0^a + \partial^i \pi_i^a - \varepsilon^{abc} A_i^b \partial^i A_0^c \approx 0. \quad (9)$$

The phase-space generating functional of Green function for the model (1) reads

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J, K] = & \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_\alpha^\alpha D\pi_\alpha^\alpha \prod_i \delta(\Lambda^a) \delta(\Omega^a) \delta(\theta_i^a) \times \\ & \det|\{\Lambda^a, \Omega^a\}| \cdot (\det|\{\theta_i^a, \theta_j^a\}|)^{1/2} \times \\ & \exp\{i \int d^3x (\pi_\alpha^a \dot{\varphi}_\alpha^a - \mathcal{H}_c + J_\alpha^a \varphi_\alpha^a + K_\alpha^a \pi_\alpha^a)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\varphi^\alpha$  represents all fields,  $\pi_\alpha$  are the canonical momenta conjugate to  $\varphi^\alpha$ , and  $J^\alpha, K^\alpha$  are exterior sources with respect to  $\varphi^\alpha, \pi_\alpha$  respectively. Calculating the factors in (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det|\{\theta_i^a, \theta_j^a\}| = & 4(Z_k^a \cdot Z_k^{a*})^2, \\ \det|\{\Lambda^a, \Omega^b\}| = & \det M^{ab} \delta^{(2)}(x-y), \\ M^{ac} = & (\delta^{ac} \nabla^2 - \varepsilon^{abc} A_i^b \partial^i) \delta(x-y). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The factor  $\det|\{\Lambda^a, \Omega^b\}| \delta(\partial^i A_i^a)$  can be replaced by  $\det M_L \delta(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)$ .

Using the properties of  $\delta$ -function and integral properties of Grassman variables, the phase-space generating functional of Green function for the model (1) can be written as [14]

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J_\alpha^a, K_\alpha^a, \bar{\xi}_a^a, \xi_a^a, U_a^l, V_a^n, W_a^i] = & \int D\phi_\alpha^a D\pi_\alpha^a D\bar{C}^a \times \\ & DC^a D\lambda_l^a D\mu_n^a D\omega_i^a \exp\{i \int d^3x (\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^P + J_\alpha^a \phi_\alpha^a + \\ & K_\alpha^a \pi_\alpha^a + \bar{\xi}_a^a C^a + \bar{C}^a \xi_a^a + U_a^l \lambda_l^a + V_a^n \mu_n^a + W_a^i \omega_i^a)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^P = \mathcal{L}^P + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gh}}, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^P = & \pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi_k^a \dot{Z}_k^a + \pi_k^{a*} \dot{Z}_k^{a*} + \\ & \bar{P}_a \dot{C}^a + \dot{C}^a P_a - \mathcal{H}_c \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \lambda_l^a A_l^a + \mu_n^a \Omega_n^a + \omega_i^a \theta_i^a, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gh}} = -\partial^\mu \bar{C}^a D_{b\mu}^a C^b, \quad (16)$$

$\lambda_l, \mu_n$  and  $\omega_i$  are the multiplier fields,  $\bar{C}^a$  and  $C^a$  are the ghost fields (Grassmann variables), and  $\bar{P}_a, P_a$  are the canonical momentums conjugate to  $\bar{C}^a$  and  $C^a$  respectively.

### 3 Angular momentum and fractional spin term

We first formulate the results of the quantal canonical Noether theorem [15, 16]: If the effective canonical action  $I_{\text{eff}}^{\text{P}} = \int d^2x \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{P}}$  is invariant under the following global transformation in extended phase space

$$\begin{cases} x^{\mu'} = x^\mu + \Delta x^\mu = x^\mu + \varepsilon_\sigma \tau^{\mu\sigma}(x, \varphi, \pi) \\ \varphi'(x') = \varphi(x) + \Delta\varphi(x) = \varphi(x) + \varepsilon_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x, \varphi, \pi) \\ \pi'(x') = \pi(x) + \Delta\pi(x) = \pi(x) + \varepsilon_\sigma \eta^\sigma(x, \varphi, \pi) \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

In the model to be discussed,  $\varphi$  and  $\pi$  denote:  $\varphi = (Z_k^a, Z_k^{a*}, A_\mu, \lambda_l, \mu_n, \omega_i)$ ,  $\pi = (\pi^a, \pi^\mu, \bar{P}^a P^a)$ , and  $\varepsilon_\sigma (\sigma = 1, 2, \dots, r)$  are infinitesimal arbitrary parameters,  $\tau^{\mu\sigma}, \xi^\sigma, \eta^\sigma$  are some smoothed functions of canonical variables and time. If the Jacobian of the transformation (17) is equal to unity, according to the canonical Noether theorem in quantum formalism, there are conserved quantities at the quantum level [15, 16]

$$Q^\sigma = \int_V d^2x [\pi(\xi^\sigma - \varphi_{,k} \tau^{k\sigma}) - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \tau^{0\sigma}] = \text{const}, \quad \sigma = (1, 2, \dots, r) \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}$  is an effective Hamiltonian density corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{P}}$ . Now, we consider the spatial rotation,  $\tau^{0\sigma} = 0$ , and the Jacobian is equal to unity. The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gh}}$  term does not involve the time derivative of field variables. Thus, using (18) the quantal conserved angular momentum for this system is given by

$$L = \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} [x_i \pi_k^a \partial_j Z_k^a + x_i \pi_k^{a*} \partial_j Z_k^{a*} + (\pi_{a\mu} S_{ij}^{\mu\nu} A_\nu^a + x_i \pi_a^\mu \partial_j A_\mu^a)] + x_i \bar{P}_a \partial_j C^a + x_i \bar{C}^a \partial_j P_a, \quad (19)$$

where  $S_{ij}^{kl} = \delta_i^k \delta_j^l - \delta_j^k \delta_i^l$ . Substituting (3b) into (19), using the relations  $\varepsilon^{jk} \varepsilon_{il} = \delta_i^j \delta_l^k - \delta_l^j \delta_i^k$ , this Eq. (19) can be simplified to

$$L = \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} (x_i \pi_k^a \partial_j Z_k^a + x_i \pi_k^{a*} \partial_j Z_k^{a*}) + \varepsilon^{ij} [x_i \bar{P}_a \partial_j C^a + x_i \bar{C}^a \partial_j P_a] + \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} [F_{k0} S_{ij}^{kl} A_l + x_i F^{k0} \partial_j A_k] + \kappa \int d^2x [\varepsilon^{ij} x_i A_j^a (\varepsilon^{lk} \partial_l A_k^a)]. \quad (20)$$

The Lagrange equation of motion for  $A_\mu^a$  is given by

$$-\partial_\mu F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} F_b^{\mu\nu} A_c^\mu + \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda} \times (2\partial_\mu A_\lambda^a + \varepsilon^{abc} A_\nu^b A_\lambda^c) = J^{a\nu}. \quad (21)$$

In Coulomb gauge  $\partial_i A_i^a = 0$ , and setting  $\nu = 0$ , (21) reads

$$-\partial_i F^{a i 0} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} F_b^{i0} A_c^i + \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{ij} (2\partial_i A_j^a + \varepsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c) = J^{a0}. \quad (22)$$

The asymptotic form of the non-abelian vortex configuration is structurally identical to [17]

$$A_i^a(x) = -\frac{2Q'^a}{\pi\kappa} \varepsilon_{ij} \frac{x^j}{x^2}, \quad (23)$$

where  $Q'^a = \int d^2x j_0'^a(x)$  is the non-Abelian charge. The Eq. (20) is reduced to

$$L = \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} (x_i \pi_a \partial_j Z_k^a + x_i \pi_a \partial_j Z_k^{a*}) + \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} [F_{k0} S_{ij}^{kl} A_l + x_i F^{k0} \partial_j A_k] + \int d^2x \varepsilon^{ij} [x_i \bar{P}_a \partial_j C^a + x_i \bar{C}^a \partial_j P_a] + \frac{2(Q'^a)^2}{\pi\kappa}. \quad (24)$$

Then we can find that the angular momentum has a anomalous term. The first two terms appearing on the right hand side of (24) contains both the orbital angular momentum which generates rotation in the ordinary space and spin term which generates rotations in the internal space. A notibale term is the Ghost term due to the quantization. The last term is independent of the origin of coordinates, and interpreted as a spin operator [18]. It is different with the abelian case, because it has non-abelian group index. So anyons still survive in our model.

### 4 Conclusion and discussion

The property of fractional spin is presented in the  $CP^1$  nonlinear  $\sigma$  model with non-abelian Chern-Simons term at the quantum level. The angular momentum can take any arbitrary value which is determined by the CS parameter  $\kappa$ . Here we first quantize this system in the Faddeev-Senjanovic path-integral formalism. Then we rigously calculated the angular momentum based on the quantal Noether theorem. Fractional spin term is contained in the total angular momentum. The additional Maxwell kinetic term in the model does not change the property of fractional spin. But the non-abelian Chern-Simons term dominates in 2+1 dimension. Without this term the anomaly spin will not occur [18].

## References

- 1 Schwinger. J. Annals of Physics, 1958, **2**: 407
- 2 Migdal A A. Soviet Phys. JETP, 1976, **42**: 413
- 3 Polyakov A M. Phys. Lett. B, 1975, **59**: 79
- 4 Adda A D, Vecchia P, Luscher M. Physics Report, 1979, **49**: 239
- 5 Wilczek F. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1982, **49**: 957
- 6 Wilczek F, Zee A. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1983, **51**: 2250
- 7 Roy P P K S, Scherer W. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1988, **61**: 2827
- 8 LI Zi-Ping. Science in China A, 1996, **39**: 738
- 9 Karabali D. Phys. Rev. D, 1987, **35**: 1522
- 10 LI Zi-Ping. Chinese Science Bulletin, 1999, **44**: 207
- 11 JIANG Jin-Huan, LIU Yun, LI Zi-Ping. Chinese Physics, 2004, **13**: 153
- 12 WANG Yong-Long, LI Zi-Ping. High Energy Physics and Nuclear Physics, 2004, **28**: 696 (in Chinese)
- 13 LI Zi-Ping. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 1996, **35**: 1353
- 14 LI Zi-Ping, JIANG Jin-Huan. Symmetries in Constrained Canonical Systems. Beijing: Science Press, 2002
- 15 LI Zi-Ping. Zeit for Phys C, 1997, **76**: 181
- 16 LI Zi-Ping. Acta Physica Sinica, 1996, **45**: 1601 (in Chinese)
- 17 Banerjee R, Mukherjee P. Nuclear Physics B, 1996, **478**: 235
- 18 Kim J K, Kim W T, Shin H. Journal of Physics A: Math. Gen, 1994, **27**: 6